1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FOR THURST RSR GROUP, INC., a Delaware for-profit corporation, Petitioner, v. WASHINGTON STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, a Washington state	
12 13	WASHINGTON STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, a Washington state agency,	AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
14 15	Respondent.	
16	Petitioner, RSR GROUP, INC., a Delawa	are for-profit corporation ("RSR Group"), by and
17	through its attorneys, Corr Cronin LLP, 1015 Se	cond Avenue, Floor 10, Seattle, WA 98104, and
18	Renzulli Law Firm, LLP, One North Broadway	, Suite 1005, White Plains, NY 10601, petitions
19	this Court (1) for an Order declaring the Civil Inv	estigative Demand for Answers to Interrogatories

Renzulli Law Firm, LLP, One North Broadway, Suite 1005, White Plains, NY 10601, petitions this Court (1) for an Order declaring the Civil Investigative Demand for Answers to Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents ("CID") issued by the Office of the Attorney General, State of Washington, Consumer Protection Division ("Attorney General") on March 28, 2023 invalid and unenforceable, (2) for prospective injunctive relief against the Attorney General to enjoin his office from seeking information and documents from RSR Group relative to its purported investigation, and (3) to set aside the CID.

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & TO SET ASIDE CID FOR INTERROGATORIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS- 1

25

This Petition is based on RCW §§ 7.24, *et seq.*, RCW §§ 7.40, *et seq.*, RCW §§ 19.86.110(3) & (8), RCW § 19.86.170, RCW § 9.41.290, RCW § 9.41.370(2), Article I, Section 8 and the First and Fourth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, Article 1, Sections 5 and 7 of the Washington State Constitution, and the records and files in this matter. RSR Group alleges as follows:

5

A.

1

2

3

4

### **PARTIES & VENUE**

6 1. RSR Group is a Delaware for-profit corporation with its principal place of business 7 located at 4405 Metric Drive, Winter Park, Florida 32792. RSR Group is a federally licensed 8 firearms dealer engaged in the business of the wholesale distribution of firearms and associated 9 products to properly licensed firearms dealers located in various jurisdictions throughout the 10 United States. Over its 40-plus year history RSR Group has revolutionized the shooting sports 11 industry. It is a top distributor in the shooting sports market and has been recognized for its 12 commitment to the industry and its programs. RSR Group takes pride in contributing to the 13 National Shooting Sports Foundation, the National Rifle Association, the Second Amendment 14 Foundation, the Congressional Sportsmen's Foundation, the U.S. Sportsmen's Alliance, Project 15 ChildSafe, the Youth Shooting Sports Alliance, Honored American Veterans Afield, Fairways for Warriors, and the Fisher House Foundation. The company has also received numerous awards for 16 17 its dedication to personal service and customer relations.

18 2. The Attorney General is a Washington state agency that has issued a CID to RSR19 Group.

20 3. Venue is appropriate in Thurston County pursuant to RCW § 19.86.110(8) and
21 RCW § 4.12.025.

22 B. CONTROVERSY

25

234. A controversy arose after the Attorney General issued the CID to RSR Group24pursuant to RCW § 19.86.110 based upon the Attorney General's purported investigation into sales

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & TO SET ASIDE CID FOR INTERROGATORIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS- 2

of so-called "large capacity magazines" ("LCMs"). A copy of the CID, dated March 28, 2023, is 1 2 attached to this Petition as Exhibit "A."

3

4

5

5. The CID was issued and served on RSR Group's registered agent in the state of Washington on or about March 28, 2023. RSR Group received the CID from its registered agent on or about April 12, 2023.

6. The CID contains five interrogatories and three requests for production with 6 7 relevant time periods of "July 1, 2022 to Present" and "January 1, 2022 to Present." The CID 8 demands identification of and the production of documentation concerning RSR Group's "sales, 9 transfers, or other distributions of [LCMs] to any person or entity located in the State of 10 Washington." The documentation that is demanded includes "all invoices, sales records and receipts...shipping manifests or similar paperwork...FFL transfer documentation..., etc." The 11 12 CID also demands production of "all Communications with any firearm dealer, firearm store, or other retailer that sells firearms...located within the State of Washington" without any limitation 13 14 on the nature, content, or substance of any such communications.

15 16

17

7. Upon review of the CID, RSR Group learned that the CID relates to the Attorney General's investigation into sales of LCMs to individual consumers located within the State of Washington.

18 8. RSR Group does not sell firearms, LCMs, or any other products to individual 19 consumers in the State of Washington, or elsewhere, and as such the Attorney General lacks 20 authority to compel RSR Group to respond to the exceedingly broad and unduly burdensome 21 demands asserted in the CID.

22 9. Although RSR Group initially requested an extension of time to review, respond and/or object to the CID and attempted to seek revisions to the broad, intrusive, and unduly 23 burdensome demands asserted in the CID, on April 28, 2023 - two days before the CID's response 24 25 and objection deadline – the Attorney General notified RSR Group that it would grant a limited

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE **RELIEF & TO SET ASIDE CID FOR INTERROGATORIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS-3** 

1 two week extension of time to respond to the Interrogatories only on "condition" that RSR Group's
2 "answers are full and complete." Additionally, on April 28, 2023 the Attorney General advised
3 that no extension would be provided if RSR Group "intends to submit just objections to some/all
4 of the [CID's] requests." A copy of the Attorney General's e-mail, dated April 28, 2023, is attached
5 to this Petition as **Exhibit "B."** 

6 10. The Attorney General's unyielding insistence and escalation in asserting its
7 demands on RSR Group is problematic, overly burdensome, intrusive and beyond the scope of the
8 Attorney General's authority to issue civil investigative demands.

9 11. Furthermore, RSR Group estimates that it would be forced to incur extraordinary
10 costs and expenses totaling in excess of \$2.65 million to comply with the CID.

11 12. On May 1, 2023, RSR Group timely served its Objections and Answers to the CID
12 on Assistant Attorney General Bob Hyde. A copy of RSR Group Objections and Answers to the
13 CID, dated May 1, 2023, is attached to this Petition as Exhibit "C."

14 13. The Attorney General's actions surrounding the issuance of the CID and the scope
15 of his purported investigation into in-state consumer sales of LCMs are forcing RSR Group to
16 expend substantial financial resources, and are threatening to cause irreparable damage to RSR
17 Group's business and create reputational harm.

18 C. LEGA

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# LEGAL ISSUES

14. The Attorney General lacks authority to issue the CID because it seeks information and documents pertaining to matters for which the Washington Consumer Protection Act (RCW §§ 19.86, *et seq.*) does not apply and/or is preempted by the comprehensive federal regulatory scheme applicable to licensed firearm manufacturers, importers, distributors, and dealers, and/or Washington's Uniform Firearms Act, RCW §§ 9.41, *et seq.* The CID's broad demands seek information and documents that include actions or transactions which comply with RCW §

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & TO SET ASIDE CID FOR INTERROGATORIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS- 4

9.41.370(2) including, for example, the wholesale distribution of LCMs to federally licensed
 dealers in Washington for subsequent retail sale to persons outside the State of Washington.

3 15. The Attorney General has no authority to regulate RSR Group's conduct outside 4 the State of Washington, and the Attorney General has no colorable claim that any wholesale sales 5 or transfers of so-called "Large Capacity Magazines" to licensed firearms dealers located in the State of Washington could somehow be an "unfair or deceptive act or practice" under the 6 7 Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW §§ 19.86, et seq. Furthermore, the constitutionality 8 of Washington's ban on in-state LCM sales (Senate Bill 5078; RCW §§ 9.41.370 & 9.41.375) has 9 been called into question in several pending legal actions, including Sullivan v. Ferguson, No. 10 3:22-cv-05403 (U.S. Dist. Ct. W.D. Wash.), and Brumback v. Ferguson, No. 1:22-cv-03093-MKD (U.S. Dist. Ct. E.D. Wash.). 11

12 16. The CID violates RCW § 19.86.110(2)(a) by failing to comply with its
13 requirements for specificity as to the subject matter of the investigation as it specifically relates to
14 RSR Group based on the fact that RSR Group does not sell products to individual consumers.

15 17. The CID violates RCW § 19.86.110(2)(b) by failing to comply with its
16 requirements for specificity as to the documentary material demanded relative to the subject matter
17 of the Attorney General's purported investigation into in-state sales of LCMs to Washington
18 consumers.

19 18. The CID violates RCW § 19.86.110 by failing to comply with the requirements set
20 forth in RCW § 19.86.110(3)(a) because it imposes obligations upon RSR Group that exceed the
21 scope of permissible discovery under the Washington Superior Court Civil Rules, the Washington
22 Rules of Evidence, and other applicable rules.

19. The CID violates RSR Group's Fourth Amendment rights to be free from
unreasonable search and seizure because it is vastly overbroad, demands information and
documents about lawful conduct and which are beyond the Attorney General's authority, is not

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & TO SET ASIDE CID FOR INTERROGATORIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS- 5

reasonably related to any legitimate investigative purpose, and is overly burdensome and 1 2 expensive.

20. The CID violates RSR Group's right to privacy under Article 1, Section 7 of the 3 4 Washington State Constitution.

21.

The CID violates RSR Group's First Amendment rights.

22. The CID violates RSR Group's right to free speech under Article 1, Section 5 of 6 7 the Washington State Constitution.

8 By issuing the CID and pursuing the purported investigation, the Attorney General 23. 9 seeks to regulate and burden out-of-state commercial activity and lawful interstate commerce, 10 which improperly encroaches on Congress's exclusive authority to regulate interstate commerce in violation of the Dormant Commerce Clause, Article I, Section 8 of the United States 11 Constitution. 12

13

5

#### D. **RSR GROUP IS ENTITLED TO DECLARATORY RELIEF**

14 24. Pursuant to RCW §§ 7.24, et seq., this Court has the authority to declare the rights, 15 status and other relations of the parties in order to ensure that public officers and officials act within the bounds of their lawful powers. RSR Group seeks a declaration that the Attorney General lacks 16 17 authority to issue the CID and that the CID is invalid and unenforceable.

18 25. The declaratory relief requested, if rendered or entered, will terminate the 19 controversy and remove uncertainty as to the Attorney General's authority to issue the CID and/or 20 the validity of the CID itself.

26. The public interest would be furthered by granting declaratory relief because 22 constitutional rights are at stake, and because the public has an interest in preserving the principle 23 of prosecutorial neutrality.

25

24

21

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE **RELIEF & TO SET ASIDE CID FOR INTERROGATORIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS-6** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

E.

### **RSR GROUP IS ENTITLED TO INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

27. Pursuant to RCW §§ 7.40, *et seq.*, this Court has the authority to provide injunctive relief in order to ensure that public officers and officials act within the bounds of their lawful powers. RSR Group seeks an injunction as to prevent the Attorney General from enforcing the CID.

28. RSR Group has a substantial likelihood of success on the merits.

29. RSR Group will suffer irreparable injury if an injunction is not granted.

8 30. An injunction will not substantially injure other interested parties, in that there can 9 be no injury to the Attorney General for not being able to prosecute or investigate RSR Group for 10 lawful activity, nor is there any harm to the Attorney General arising from a brief delay to await a 11 ruling on the merits of this matter.

12 31. The public interest would be furthered by the injunction because constitutional
rights are at stake, and because the public has an interest in preserving the principle of prosecutorial
neutrality.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, RSR Group prays as follows:

1. The Court declare the March 28, 2023 CID invalid and unenforceable;

2. The Court enjoin the Attorney General from enforcing the March 28, 2023 CID;

3. The Court issue an injunction prohibiting the Attorney General from seeking information or documents from RSR Group relative to the Attorney General's purported investigation into sales of so-called "large capacity magazines";

4. The Court set aside the March 28, 2023 CID as being over broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive;

5. The Court award RSR Group its costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & TO SET ASIDE CID FOR INTERROGATORIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS- 7

1	6. 7	The Court grant such other relie	ef as the Court deems equ	uitable and proper under the
2		circumstances.		
3	DATED	this 2nd day of May, 2023.		
4			CORR CRONIN LLP	
5			r/ Stower W. Form	
6			<u>s/ Steven W. Fogg</u> Steven W. Fogg, WSB	A No. 23528
7			<u>s/Jack M. Lovejoy</u>	A No. 26062
8			Jack M. Lovejoy, WSE CORR CRONIN LLP 1015 Second Avenue, J	
9			Seattle, Washington 98 Ph: (206) 625-8600   Fa	8104-1001
10			Email: sfogg@correror jlovejoy@correronin.co	nin.com
11				
12	Christopher Renzulli (pro hac vice forthcoming) Peter V. Malfa (pro hac vice forthcoming)		c vice forthcoming)	
13	RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP One North Broadway, Suite 1005 White Plains, NY 10601		Suite 1005	
14	White Plains, NY 10601 Ph: (914) 285-0700   Fax: (914) 285-1213 Email: crenzulli@renzullilaw.com;		ax: (914) 285-1213	
15	pmalfa@renzullilaw.com			
16			Attorneys for Petitioned	r RSR Group, Inc.
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	RELIEF & TO SE	DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE T ASIDE CID FOR RIES & PRODUCTION OF DOCUN	MENTS- 8	CORR CRONIN LLP 1015 Second Avenue, Floor 10 Seattle, Washington 98104-1001 Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

# EXHIBIT A

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF WASHINGTON
8	IN THE MATTER OF: CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR
9	RETAIL SALES OF HIGH CAPACITY ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF
10	MAGAZINES IN WASHINGTON DOCUMENTS
11 12	
12	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO: c/o Incorporating Services, Ltd. 3400 Capitol Blvd SE, Ste. 101
13	Tumwater, WA 98501
15	<b>DEMAND IS HEREBY MADE</b> upon you by the Consumer Protection Division, Office
16	of the Attorney General, State of Washington (Attorney General), to answer in writing and under
17	oath, the Interrogatories contained in this Civil Investigative Demand (CID). This Civil
18	Investigative Demand is made pursuant to RCW 19.86.110. The Attorney General believes you
19	have knowledge relevant to the subject matter of an investigation now in progress. Said
20	investigation involves possible past or current violations of RCW 19.86.020 (unfair or deceptive
21	acts or practices, or unfair methods of competition, in the conduct of any trade or commerce)
22	and RCW 9.41.375 (sales of high capacity magazines); specifically unfair or deceptive acts and
23	practices, and unfair methods of competition, with respect to distributing, selling, or offering for
24	sale high capacity magazines to Washington consumers.
25	
26	

CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 1 2

3

1 |

#### TIME AND PLACE OF PRODUCTION

I.

The requested documents are to be produced to Bob Hyde, Assistant Attorney General, at the Office of the Attorney General, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, WA 98104, within 4 thirty (30) days of being served with this Civil Investigative Demand, or at such other time and place as is agreed to by the parties.

6

5

#### II. **COMMUNICATIONS**

7 All notices, questions, or communications concerning this Civil Investigative Demand 8 should be directed to Bob Hyde, Assistant Attorney General, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, 9 Seattle, WA 98104, (206) 233-3392, or by email at Bob.Hyde@atg.wa.gov.

10

26

#### III. **DEFINITIONS**

3.1 11 "Relating to" means constituting, containing, concerning, discussing, describing, analyzing, identifying, referring to, or stating. 12

13 3.2 "You" and "your" refer to RSR Group, and any parent, affiliate, sister, subsidiary, 14 predecessor, successor or assignee of it, and its principals, operating divisions, present or former 15 owners, employees, servants, officers, directors, agents, representatives, attorneys, accountants, 16 independent contractors, distributors, and any other persons or entities acting on behalf of or 17 under the direction, authorization, or control of RSR Group, including any foreign or overseas affiliates. This definition is intended to also include Your online store. 18

19 3.3 "Large Capacity Magazine" means an ammunition feeding device with the 20 capacity to accept more than 10 rounds of ammunition, or any conversion kit, part, or 21 combination of parts, from which such a device can be assembled if those parts are in possession 22 of or under the control of the same person, but shall not be construed to include any of the 23 following: (a) An ammunition feeding device that has been permanently altered so that it cannot 24 accommodate more than 10 rounds of ammunition; (b) A 22 caliber tube ammunition feeding 25 device; or (c) A tubular magazine that is contained in a lever-action firearm. RCW 9.41.010(16).

CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF **DOCUMENTS - 2** 

"Communication" means every disclosure, transfer, exchange, or transmission of  $1 \mid$ 3.4 2 information, whether oral, written, or electronic, by voice speech, telecommunications 3 (including messaging services, such as text messages), virtual meeting (e.g., FaceTime, Zoom, or Webex), computer, electronic mail, facsimile, or otherwise. 4

5 3.5 "Document" or "documents" means all computer files and written, recorded, and graphic materials of every kind, including audio material, video material, and photographic 6 7 material regardless of whether in digital, analog or in another form, in the possession, custody or control of the respondent. The terms "document" and "documents" includes material defined 8 9 as "writings" and "recordings" in ER 1001(a). The terms "document" and "documents" also 10 includes electronic correspondence and drafts of documents, copies of documents that are not 11 identical duplicates of the originals, and copies of documents the originals of which are not in 12 the possession, custody, or control of the respondent.

13

14

3.6 "Identify," when used with respect to a document, means to state with respect to each such document:

15 Title of the document; a. 16 b. Author; 17 Title or position of the addressee; c. 18 d. Type of document; 19 Date it was prepared; e. 20 f. Number of pages it comprises; and Production number. 21 g. 22 3.7 "Identify," when used with respect to an entity, means to state with respect to 23 each such entity: 24 a. Full legal name or title; 25 Form of business (i.e. corporation, partnership, limited liability co.); b. 26 Relationship to You; c. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR Consumer Protection Division ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF **DOCUMENTS - 3** 

1	d. Complete business location and mailing address;		
2	e. Telephone and facsimile numbers;		
3	f. State of incorporation or organization or, if organized outside the United		
4	States, country and city of incorporation or organization; and		
5	g. Address of headquarters and/or principal place of business.		
6	3.8 "Identify," when used with respect to a Person, means to state with respect to		
7	each such Person:		
8	a. Name;		
9	b. Title(s);		
10	b. Residential or business mailing address;		
11	c. Telephone number; and		
12	d. Email address.		
13	3.9 "Person" and "entity" mean natural persons, proprietorships, firms, general		
14	partnerships, associations, joint ventures, for-profit corporations, non-profit corporations, trusts,		
15	groups, agencies, institutions, other business or government organization, or any other legal		
16	entity, and all present and former directors, officers, employees, agents, consultants, or other		
17	persons acting in concert with or on behalf of any of them.		
18	3.10 The singular includes the plural and vice versa. The masculine includes the feminine		
19	and neuter genders. The past tense includes the present tense where the clear meaning is not		
20	distorted by change of tense. "And" as well as "or" shall be construed disjunctively or conjunctively		
21	as necessary to bring within the scope of the request all responses that otherwise might be construed		
22	to be outside its scope. "Include" and "including," and variations thereof, shall not be interpreted as		
23	terms of limitation but shall be deemed to be followed by the words "without limitation." "Any"		
24	shall be construed as synonymous with "every" and "all" and shall be all inclusive."		
25			
26			
1	CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTO		

CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 4  $1 \mid$ 

6

#### IV. **INSTRUCTIONS**

2 4.1 Unless otherwise noted, the relevant time period for which documents and 3 information are requested is **July 1**, 2022, to the present.

4 5

4.2 This CID requests production of all described documents in your possession, custody, or control without regard to the person or persons by whom or for whom the documents were prepared (e.g., your employees, distributors, representatives, competitors, or others).

7 4.3 This CID includes documents and information in the possession of your 8 employees, agents, representatives, and attorneys, unless privileged. If you contend that the 9 information requested by any request is privileged in whole or in part, or if you otherwise object to any part of any request or contend that any identified document would be excluded from 10 11 production to the Attorney General in discovery regardless of its relevance, identify the 12 document and state the basis for the privilege, and provide a detailed privilege log that contains 13 at least the following information for each document or piece of information that you have withheld: 14

- 15 The name of each author, writer, sender, creator, or initiator of a. 16 such document:
  - b. The name of each recipient, addressee, or party for whom such document was intended or to whom the document was sent:

The date of such document or an estimate thereof if no date appears on c. the document:

- The general subject matter of the document; and d.
  - e. The claimed grounds for withholding the document, including, but not limited to, the nature of any claimed privilege and grounds in support thereof.

26

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF **DOCUMENTS - 5** 

4.4 This CID imposes a continuing duty to produce promptly any responsive
information or item that is not objected to, which comes into your knowledge, possession,
custody, or control after your initial production of responses to this CID.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4.5 In each instance in which a document is produced, produce the current edition, along with all earlier editions or predecessor documents serving the same function, even though the title of earlier documents may differ from current versions.

4.6 The following procedures shall apply to the production of documents and
8 information in response to this CID:

- a. The recipient of this CID shall label each responsive document (i.e., Response to Request No. 1, Response to Request No. 2, and so forth), group all documents responsive to a particular request together, and place a label on each group of documents which identifies the corresponding request;
- b. All attachments to responsive documents or information shall be
  produced with, and attached to, the responsive documents (or digitally in
  corresponding order);
  - c. Each responsive document or information shall be produced in its entirety and no portion of any document or information shall be edited, cut, masked, redacted or otherwise altered, unless for applicable privilege which shall be logged according to the procedures set forth above;
    - d. The recipient of this CID shall provide a key to all abbreviations used in the documents or information and shall attach the key to the corresponding documents or information.

4.7 Documents or information that may be responsive to more than one (1) numbered
request in this CID need not be submitted more than once. However, for each such document or
information, the recipient of this CID shall identify all of the numbered requests to which the

document or information is responsive. If any responsive document or information has been
 previously supplied to the Washington Attorney General's Office, you shall identify the
 document(s) or information previously provided and the date(s) of submission.

4

6

7

26

4.8 You shall consecutively number each page of all documents or information produced with your response, and indicate the total number of pages produced with your response. This page numbering must be separate from and must not alter any original page numbering on the responsive documents or information.

4.9 Your responses to the requests in this CID should include all relevant
electronically stored information in your possession, custody, or control. Electronically stored
information is an irreplaceable source of evidence and therefore you must implement appropriate
safeguards against the destruction of evidence until the final resolution of this issue, as
noted below.

4.10 Production of electronically stored information and other documents in electronic
format shall conform to the standards set forth in **Exhibit A** (attached).

15 If you are unable to fully answer any particular interrogatory or request for 4.11 16 documents, supply all of whatever information is actually available. Designate such response as 17 incomplete, and accompany the information and documents produced with an explanation that 18 includes the reasons for the incomplete answer, a description of any and all of your efforts to 19 obtain the information, and the source from which the Attorney General may obtain information 20 to complete your response. If books, records, or other sources that provide accurate answers are 21 not available, provide your best estimates and describe how you derived the estimates, including 22 the sources or bases of such estimates. Designate estimated data as such by marking it with the 23 "est." notation. If there is no reasonable way for you to make an estimate, provide an explanation. 24 4.12 If particular documents responsive to this CID no longer exist for reasons other

than the ordinary course of business but you have reason to believe they have been in existence,

CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 7 1 describe the documents, state the circumstances under which such documents were lost or2 destroyed, and identify persons having knowledge of the content of the documents.

4.13 In order for your response to this demand to be complete, submit with your
response the attached certification form, as executed by the official supervising your compliance
with this CID.

4.14 Duty to Preserve Documents: Do not destroy any documents, information,
or other data relating to any of the requests in this CID. All documents, information, and
other data that relate to the subject matter or requests of this CID must be preserved. Any
destruction involving such documents, information, and other data must cease immediately, even
if it is your normal or routine course of business to delete or destroy such documents,
information, or data and, even if you believe such documents, information, or data are privileged
or otherwise need not be produced.

13

17

18

#### V. INTERROGATORIES

**INTERROGATORY NO. 1:** Identify all Persons involved in responding to this CID,
including identification of each Request that each Person provided information for or answered. **ANSWER:**

 19
 INTERROGATORY NO. 2:
 Describe Your corporate structure and ownership. Please

 20
 include all relationships with any parent, affiliate, sister, subsidiary, predecessor, or successor

 21
 assignee(s).

22 || <u>ANSWER:</u>

23 24

25

26

CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 8

1	<b>INTERROGATORY NO. 3:</b> Identify all Persons responsible for Your regulatory			
2	compliance.			
3	ANSWER:			
4				
5				
6	<b>INTERROGATORY NO. 4:</b> For the time period of July 1, 2022 to the present, identify			
7	each of Your sales, transfers, or other distributions of Large Capacity Magazines to any person			
8	or entity located in the State of Washington. When identifying each such sale, transfer, or			
9	distribution, include:			
10	a. Customer name;			
11	b. Shipping address;			
12	c. Telephone number;			
13	d. Email address;			
14	e. Model number and name of Large Capacity Magazine(s);			
15	f. Quantity of Large Capacity Magazine(s);			
16	g. Date of sale, transfer, or distribution; and			
17	h. Date You shipped Large Capacity Magazine(s) to Washington.			
18	ANSWER:			
19				
20				
21	<b>INTERROGATORY NO. 5:</b> For the time period of July 1, 2022 to the present, identify			
22	each Large Capacity Magazine that was returned to You by any person or entity located in the			
23	State of Washington. When identifying each such return, include:			
24	a. Customer name;			
25	b. Shipping address;			
26	c. Telephone number;			
	CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND Consumer Protection Division			

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 9

800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188 (206) 464-7744

Т

1	d. Email address;		
2	e. Model number and name of Large Capacity Magazine(s) returned;		
3	f. Quantity of Large Capacity Magazine(s) returned;		
4	g. Date of return; and		
5	h. Date Person shipped Large Capacity Magazine(s) to You.		
6	ANSWER:		
7			
8			
9	VI. REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION		
10	<b><u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1</u></b> : Provide any and all documents referenced in,		
11	supporting, or that form the basis of your response to the Interrogatories above. This request is		
12	intended to include (but not be limited to) all invoices, sales records and receipts of any LCM		
13	sales or transfers, shipping manifests or similar paperwork regarding any sales or transfers of		
14	LCMs, FFL transfer documentation for any transfer of a firearm packaged with an LCM, etc.		
15	Please label those documents as responsive to the corresponding Interrogatory number listed		
16	above.		
17	RESPONSE:		
18			
19			
20	<b><u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2</u></b> : For the time period of January 1, 2022 to the		
21	present, provide all Communications with any Person relating in any way to the sale, transfer,		
22	or distribution of Large Capacity Magazines in the State of Washington.		
23	RESPONSE:		
24			
25			
26			
I	CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON		

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 10

1	<b><u>REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:</u></b> For the time period of January 1, 2022 to the		
2	present, provide all Communications with any firearm dealer, firearm store, or other retailer that		
3	sells firearms (i.e., Cabela's, etc.) located within the State of Washington. For purposes of this		
4	Request for Production, "Communications" does not include invoices, sales records, receipts,		
5	shipping manifests, or similar paperwork.		
6	RESPONSE:		
7			
8			
9			
10	This Civil Investigative Demand is issued pursuant to the powers vested in the		
11	Attorney General of the State of Washington by RCW 19.86.110. The Attorney General is		
12	authorized to enforce this demand and failure to comply with this demand shall subject		
13	you to sanctions as provided in RCW 19.86.110.		
14	DATED this 28th day of March, 2023.		
15	ROBERT W. FERGUSON		
16	Attorney General		
17			
18	<u>/s/ Bob Hyde</u> BOB HYDE, WSBA # 33593		
19	Assistants Attorney General		
20	Attorneys for State of Washington 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104		
21	(206) 233-3392 Bob.Hyde@atg.wa.gov		
22	boo.nyde@atg.wa.gov		
23			
24			
25			
26			
	CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR       ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON         ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND       Consumer Protection Division         REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF       Seattle, WA 98104-3188		

(206) 464-7744

DOCUMENTS - 11

1	CERTIFICATION	
2	I,, having made the foregoing responses to the	
3	interrogatories and requests for production of documents in this Civil Investigative Demand,	
4	certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of	, that I am
5	authorized to sign legal documents on respondent's behalf and know the responses h	erein to be
6	true, correct, and complete.	
7	Signature:	
8	Title or Position:	
9	Date:	
10	City and State:	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND Consumer Protection 800 Fifth Avenue S	Division

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 12

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	I certify that I caused true and correct copies of this document to be served via Certified	
3	Mail and email on the following party at the following address:	
4		
5	RSR GROUP c/o Incorporating Services, Ltd.	
6	3400 Capitol Blvd SE, Ste. 101 Tumwater, WA 98501	
7		
8	I certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that the	
9	foregoing is true and correct.	
10	DATED this 28th day of March, 2023, at Seattle, Washington.	
11		
12	/s/ Bob Hyde	
13	BOB HYDE, WSBA # 33593 Assistant Attorney General	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
·	CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR       ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON         ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND       Consumer Protection Division         REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF       Seattle, WA 98104-3188         DOCUMENTS - 13       (206) 464-7744	

DOCUMENTS - 13

This document describes the technical requirements for electronic document productions to the Consumer Protection Division of the State of Washington Attorney General's Office (AGO). It is highly recommended that parties confer in advance of any large-scale document production.

Any proposed file formats other than those described below must be discussed with the legal and technical staff of the AGO Consumer Protection Division prior to submission.

### 1. Definitions and Scope

- **a.** "**Document**(**s**)" shall have the broadest meaning pursuant to Rule 34 of the Washington Superior Court Civil Rules (CR) and case law construing the same, and includes all electronic documents or electronically stored information (ESI) existing in any medium from which information can be obtained or translated into reasonably usable form.
- **b.** "Native File(s)" or "Native Format" means ESI that have an associated file structure defined by the creating or viewing application in the file type for (or of) the application in which such ESI is normally created, viewed, and/or modified in the regular course of the Producing Party's business.

At a minimum, all spreadsheets, presentation files (PowerPoint), and audio/video files must be produced in native format, unless there is an agreement to the contrary. (Note: An Adobe PDF file is **not** considered a Native File or Native Format unless the document was initially created as a PDF.)

- **c.** "Metadata" means: (i) information associated with or embedded in a Native File that does not constitute the primary content region of the file; and (ii) information generated automatically by the operation of a computer or other information technology system when a Native File is created, modified, transmitted, deleted, or otherwise manipulated by a user of such system.
- **d.** "Load File" means an electronic data file containing information about the Documents in a production, including an indication of which individual pages or files constitute each Document, and data relating to each individual Document, including extracted metadata.
- e. "OCR" means optical character recognition, i.e., using software to generate text from an image of text.
- f. "Extracted Text" means all text content extracted from a Native File.
- **g.** "**Receiving Party**" shall mean the party receiving production of Documents in response to any request for production of document(s).

- **h.** "**Producing Party**" shall mean the party producing Documents in response to any request for production of documents.
- i. "Bates Number" means an identifier that consists of a short two to eight letter prefix, associated with the Producing Party's name, followed by a six-digit number (*e.g.*, ABCD000001). The prefix should include only letters, dashes, or underscores. The prefix and number should not be separated by a space. Each page in the production must be assigned a unique, incremental Bates number. The prefix must be the same for all pages produced from the same Producing Party.

#### 2. General Production Requirements

- **a.** Reference the specific portion of the request to which you are responding.
- **b.** All submissions must be organized **by custodian**, unless otherwise instructed.
- **c.** Electronic files must be produced in their **Native Format**, i.e., the format in which they are ordinarily used and maintained during the normal course of the Producing Party's business. For example, an MS Excel file must be produced as an MS Excel file rather than a PDF or other image of a spreadsheet.
- **d.** Emails and attachments to emails must be produced in their **Native Format**. If an email or an attachment requires either withholding or redaction, that file may be produced as an image file as long as the parent-child relationship is maintained and reflected in the Load File.
- e. While the AGO accepts imaged productions in addition to native formats, imaged productions without native formats are deficient, unless the original document only exists in hard copy form or its Native Format is an image.
- **f.** All Documents, whether originally stored in paper or electronic form, must be produced in the manner described herein. If the Producing Party has concerns or questions about these specifications and requirements, the Producing Party shall schedule a conference with the Requesting Party's counsel, as soon as possible after receipt of the requests at issue, to discuss alternative production requirements, concerns, formats, or methods.

#### **3. Production Format**

Documents shall be produced according to the following specifications:

#### a. Electronic Production of Paper Documents

Documents that are maintained in paper format shall be scanned images at 300 DPI resolution, in text searchable PDF format that, to the maximum practicable extent, represents the full and complete information contained in the original

Document. Documents must be produced with the associated OCR text, and Load File. Paper Documents that contain affixed notes shall be scanned with the notes affixed, if it can be done so in a manner so as not to obstruct other content on the document. If the content of the Document is obscured by the affixed notes, the Document and note shall be scanned separately, with a parent-child relationship indicated in the Load File.

#### b. Electronically Stored Information

Document images should be generated from electronic Documents in a set of color 300 DPI text searchable PDFs, one PDF file per document, that reflects the full and complete information contained on the original document to the maximum practicable extent. Electronic Documents must be produced together with a Load File containing all required metadata as set out in Section 13, below. The Producing Party may withhold the redacted text for redacted Documents.

#### c. File Structure

The Producing Party shall produce the following sets of files for all produced documents:

#### i. Load File

- (1) Each production must include a .dat metadata Load File, in a delimited text file format. The first row of the Load File should contain the metadata column/field names. Each subsequent row should contain the metadata for a single document. Each column of each row should contain one metadata value, with values encapsulated by a pre-designated "quote" character and columns separated by a pre-designated "separator" character throughout.
- (2) The Load File should use a thorn (b, ASCII character 231) as the pre-designated "quote" character, and the special, non-printing character DC4 (ASCII character 20) as the pre-designated column separator.
- (3) The fields Begin Bates, End Bates, and NativePath must be present.
- (4) Every row must have the same number of columns/fields (empty values are acceptable).
- (5) Text must be encoded in either ASCII, UTF-8, or UTF-16.
- (6) The Load File should be placed in the Data folder of the production in the root directory.

#### ii. Extracted Text and OCR Files (.txt files)

- (1) A single text file for each Document, containing the text of all the document's pages.
- (2) Pages separated by form feed character (decimal 12, hex 0x0C).
- (3) Filenames should be of the form: <Bates num>.txt, where <Bates num> is the Bates number of the first page of the Document.
- (4) Text and filenames must be encoded in UTF-8.
- (5) Files should be placed in the *text*/subdirectory.

#### iii. Image Files

- (1) A single 300 DPI, color, text searchable PDF file per Document.
- (2) Filenames should be of the form: <Bates num>.pdf, where <Bates num> is the BATES number of the first page of the document.
- (3) Files should be placed in the *images*/subdirectory.
- (4) PDFs shall include searchable text embedded in the Document.
- (5) No other information should be provided in image filenames, including confidentiality status.
- (6) Filenames must be encoded in UTF-8.

#### iv. Native Files

- (1) Filenames must be unique in the production, unless the content is identical. We recommend naming files by the Bates number of the first page of the associated Document.
- (2) The filename must retain the file extension corresponding to the original native format; for example, an Excel 2003 spreadsheet's extension must be .xls.
- (3) Each filename, including extension, must correspond to the NativePath metadata field in its corresponding document's row in the Load File.

(4) Filenames must be encoded in UTF-8.

(5) Files should be placed in the *natives/* subdirectory.

#### 4. Production Method

Production media shall always be encrypted and sent via FTP or SFTP link provided via email at the time a production letter is emailed, unless the parties agree otherwise. If a production is too large to practicably FTP, it may be sent via encrypted physical media such as a Hard Drive or USB, along with a cover letter noting the name of the matter in which it was produced, the production date, the Bates number range of the material contained in the production, and a short description of its contents. Passwords for encrypted media should be sent separately from the media itself.

#### 5. Document Unitization

Imaged Documents shall be unitized in a manner that maintains the Document(s) and any attachments as they existed in their original state.

#### 6. Attachment Families

For electronic Documents, the relationship of documents in a document collection (e.g., cover letter and enclosures, e-mail and attachments, binder containing multiple documents, or other documents where a parent-child relationship exists between the documents) shall be maintained using the Begin Family and End Family fields of the Load File, provided however that the Producing Party must present only one level of parent-child relationship. Document Images generated from attachments to emails stored in Native Format shall be produced contemporaneously and sequentially immediately after the parent email in their Bates numbering.

#### 7. Duplicates

A Producing Party who has more than one identical copy of an electronic document (i.e., the documents are actual and contextual duplicates) need only produce a single copy of that document. A Producing Party need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form. Deduplication should be based on the MD5 or SHA1 hash values of native version of documents, respecting differences in families (i.e., two duplicates attached to different emails will both be provided). The hash value will take into account the document's text and intrinsic metadata (e.g., author, date created, etc.), but not extrinsic metadata values (e.g., custodian, file path). Emails should be systematically and consistently de-duplicated.

#### 8. Bates Numbering

Each Producing Party shall Bates number its production(s) as follows:

#### a. Document Images

Each page of a produced Document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") electronically "burned" onto the image at a location that does

not unreasonably obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. The Bates Numbers shall be formatted as described in Section 1.i., above. The Producing Party will use a consistent prefix throughout the matter. Thus, once a party chooses a prefix, *e.g.*, ABCD, it should not later produce a Document using a different prefix, *e.g.*, EFGH. No other legend or stamp should be placed on the Document Image other than a confidentiality legend (where applicable), redactions, and the Bates Number.

#### **b. Native Format Documents**

To preserve the integrity of Native Format Documents, no Bates Number, confidentiality legend or internal tracking number should be added to the content of the Native Document.

#### c. Sort Order

For Bates numbering, documents will be sorted by their original file path in ascending order, preserving family ordering.

#### 9. Confidentiality Designations

Confidentiality designations must be made on an individual document basis – productions where all documents are designated "Confidential" are unacceptable. Documents designated as confidential pursuant to a protective order or other agreement should be clearly labeled as such to avoid inadvertent disclosure of confidential information. Provide the confidentiality designations in a field provided with the load file and emblazon the confidentiality designation on the imaged document with the Bates stamp. The confidentiality legend shall be placed onto each document's image at a location that does not unreasonably obliterate or obscure any information from the source document.

#### **10. Search Terms**

The Producing Party must meet and confer with the Receiving Party before finalizing any search terms to identify electronic documents to be collected for review for possible production, or any subsequent modification of such search terms.

#### 11. Databases

To the extent discovery requires production of discoverable electronic information contained in a large database, the Producing Party should meet and confer with the Receiving Party's counsel to discuss the format of the production, with an understanding of which fields are relevant, and what set of queries is to be made for discoverable information. Prior to the conference, the Producing Party should produce relevant data dictionaries, white papers, and exemplar reports from the database in a reasonably usable and exportable electronic file (spreadsheet if possible).

#### **12. Privilege Logs**

The Producing Party must produce privilege logs in spreadsheet or .csv format. A Producing Party will produce a separate privilege log for each production within 20 days after the production of documents for which a privilege is asserted. The production of a

privilege log for a custodian or his/her department must be made not less than 20 days prior to that custodian's deposition.

#### 13. Metadata

The Producing Party shall produce the metadata information described below with each production and in the format described above. For each Document, the Producing Party shall produce a line in the corresponding Load File with the following fields, where available. The field naming conventions shall be as set forth in this Section. Datetime metadata will be provided in UTC in a consistent, reasonable, and clearly delimited format (*e.g.*, M/d/y H:m). To the extent timezone information is provided for context, it will be provided in a separate Load File field.

Field Name	Description	Data Type	Example
Begin Bates	Beginning Bates number of first page of a document	Text	ABCD000001
End Bates	Ending Bates number of last page of a document	Text	ABCD000003
Begin Family	Begin Bates of parent document of family of attachments	Text	ABCD000001
End Family	End Bates of last attachment of family	Text	ABCD000004
Pages	Number of Bates stamped pages for the PDF image each document.	Number	3
NativePath	Relative file path of native record within production, including filename and extension of native file within the production. Only for documents produced in native format.	Text	.\VOL001\natives\ 001\ABCD000001 .xlsx
TextPath	Relative file path of text record within production, including filename and extension of the text file within the production.	Text	.\VOL001\text\001 \ABCD000001.txt
Placeholder	If Bates stamped document is produced with a placeholder image (values: Y or N)	Text	Y
Redacted	If this document has redactions (values: Y or N)	Text	Y

Endorsement	If this document has been designated confidential and emblazoned with a confidentiality stamp.	Text	Confidential
PrivDesig	If this document has been designated privileged.	Text	Privileged Documents
ResponseID	Identification of request to which document is responsive. If your document is responsive to more than one request list each item separated by semi- colons.	Text	RFP 2; RFP 3
All Custodians	For de-duplicated documents, list of all custodians the duplicate copy was collected from.	Text	
All Paths	For de-duplicated documents, list of all file paths for duplicate copies.	Text	
Author	Creator of document	Text	Jones
Всс	Additional blind recipients of an email (Blind Carbon Copy)	Text	bob@acme.com
Cc	Additional recipients of email (Carbon Copy)	Text	sue@acme.com
Custodian	Name of person from whom documents were collected	Text	Jones
Date Created	Datetime document was created	Datetime	07/21/1969 02:56:00
Date Modified	Datetime document was last modified	Datetime	07/21/1969 02:56:00
Date Received	Datetime document was received	Datetime	07/21/1969 02:56:00
Date Sent	Datetime an email was sent	Datetime	07/21/1969 02:56:00
	The suffix at the end of the native filename indicating file type	Text	.docx .pdf .xlsx
Filename	Original filename of native document, including extension	Text	interesting_sprea dsheet.xlsx

File Path	Original source file path, including location, folder name, filename, and extension	Text	media.zip//jones.p st//sentmail/444.eml //inte resting_spreadsh eet.xlsx
From	Sender	Text	jones@acme.com
In Reply To	Message id of email this email is in reply to	Text	
Message Id	Unique message id from internet headers	Text	
MD5 Hash	MD5 Hash value of Document	MD5 Hash	
SHA1 Hash	SHA1 Hash value of document	SHA1 Hash	
Subject	Subject line	Text	Check this out!
То	Recipient	Text	mary@acme.com

# EXHIBIT B

From:	Hyde, Bob (ATG) <robert.hyde@atg.wa.gov></robert.hyde@atg.wa.gov>
Sent:	Friday, April 28, 2023 3:08 PM
То:	Arielle Tyree
Cc:	Nelson, John (ATG); Carr, Ben (ATG); Grant, Noah (ATG)
Subject:	RE: RSR Group -Civil Investigative Demand

#### Arielle,

Thank you for your email. When we spoke on April 6, you indicated that your client would be able to provide an essentially on-time response to the interrogatories and RFP no. 1. You asked for an extension of time for on RFPs 2 and 3, and I informed you that I could not authorize that unilaterally and that you would need to provide specific information to justify an extension. You indicated that you would promptly provide that to me, but that never happened. In fact, your email below does not provide any specific information and simply seeks a blanket extension for the entire CID response.

While the State will agree to reasonable extensions, it only does so when a target has articulated proper justification. Further, most extensions are not blanket—that is, extensions are granted for particular ROGs or RFPs. Nevertheless, in the spirit of cooperation, I can offer you an extension to May 15 on the interrogatories on the condition that your client's answers are full and complete. I can offer an extension to June 1 on the RFPs on the same condition. However, if your client intends to submit just objections to some/all of the requests, the deadline for doing so remains May 1.

Thank you,

#### **Bob Hyde**

Assistant Attorney General Consumer Protection Division Washington State Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 Office: (206) 233-3392 bob.hyde@atg.wa.gov

From: Arielle Tyree <atyree@rsrgroup.com> Sent: Friday, April 28, 2023 7:52 AM To: Hyde, Bob (ATG) <robert.hyde@atg.wa.gov> Subject: RSR Group -Civil Investigative Demand

#### [EXTERNAL]

Good morning Bob,

Thank you for taking my call a few weeks ago to discuss the Civil Investigative Demand for Answers to Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents (CID) received by RSR Group on March 31, 2023.

I have reviewed the CID and RSR has begun compiling the information to respond to the interrogatories. However, as I mentioned in our call, the request is incredibly broad and encompasses an expansive amount of information and documentation.

As a result, I am requesting a 30-day extension so that I may provide a more comprehensive response to the request. I would appreciate a response at your earliest convenience.

Thank you,

Arielle Tyree General Counsel RSR Group, Inc.



(407) 554-6641
 atyree@rsrgroup.com
 (407) 677-5804

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic communication, including any attachments, is confidential information, is intended only for the use of the recipients named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately of the error by return e-mail and please permanently remove the original message and any copy of it from your system.

# EXHIBIT C

#### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN RE THE MATTER OF:

RETAIL SALES OF HIGH CAPACITY MAGAZINES IN WASHINGTON

RSR GROUP, INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND ANSWERS TO CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

RSR GROUP, INC. TO: Bob Hyde Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104

Respondent RSR Group, Inc. ("RSR Group"), by and through its attorneys, Renzulli Law Firm, LLP, One North Broadway, Suite 1005, White Plains, NY 10601, and Corr Cronin LLP, 1015 Second Avenue, Floor 10, Seattle, WA 98104, hereby objects to and answers the Consumer Protection Division's, Office of the Attorney General, State of Washington ("Attorney General") Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents contained in the Civil Investigative Demand ("CID"), dated March 28, 2023, as follows:

### **GENERAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS**

The following General Responses and Objections are hereby incorporated into each of the specific Answers and Responses below as though they are fully set forth in full:

1. RSR Group objects to the CID in its entirety on the ground that it seeks information and documents pertaining to matters for which the Washington Consumer Protection Act (RCW §§ 19.86, *et seq.*) does not apply and/or is preempted by the comprehensive federal regulatory scheme applicable to licensed firearm manufacturers, importers, distributors and dealers, and/or Washington's Uniform Firearms Act, RCW §§ 9.41, *et seq.*  2. RSR Group objects to the CID in its entirety on the ground that it seeks information and documents pertaining to products that it has never sold to individual consumers in the State of Washington.

3. RSR Group objects to the CID in its entirety on the ground that the Washington Attorney General has no authority to regulate RSR Group's conduct outside the State of Washington, and the Attorney General has no colorable claim that any wholesale sales or transfers of so-called "Large Capacity Magazines" to licensed firearms dealers in Washington could somehow be an "unfair or deceptive act or practice" under the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW §§ 19.86, *et seq.* 

4. RSR Group objects to the CID in its entirety on the grounds that it seeks information and documents out of proportion to the ends sought and of such a sweeping nature and so unrelated to the matter properly under inquiry as to exceed the Washington Attorney General's investigatory power. The CID's broad Requests for Production of Documents demand production of unreasonably extensive electronically stored information (ESI) regardless of whether such ESI involves conduct occurring within or outside of the State of Washington, and regardless of whether an action or transaction complies with RCW § 9.41.370(2) including, for example, the wholesale distribution of so-called "large capacity magazines" to a federally licensed dealers in Washington for subsequent retail sale to persons outside the state of Washington.

5. RSR Group objects to the CID and to each Definition, Instruction, Interrogatory and Document Request contained therein to the extent that they seek information or documents regarding business activities that took place outside of the State of Washington and that are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General. 6. RSR Group objects to the CID and to each Definition, Instruction, Interrogatory and Document Request contained therein to the extent that they seek information or documents regarding actions or transactions permitted by federal law and RCW § 9.41.370(2) and which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General.

7. RSR Group objects to the CID and to each Definition, Instruction, Interrogatory and Document Request contained therein as they seek to impose obligations upon RSR Group that exceed the scope of permissible discovery under the Washington Superior Court Civil Rules, the Washington Rules of Evidence, RCW § 19.86.110(3), and other applicable rules.

8. The responses and objections herein are neither intended as, nor shall in any way be deemed, an admission or representation that certain documents exist or do not exist.

9. RSR Group objects to the CID and to each Definition, Instruction, Interrogatory and Document Request contained therein insofar as they purport to require RSR Group to create or generate documents that do not currently exist.

10. RSR Group objects to the CID and to each Definition, Instruction, Interrogatory and Document Request contained therein to the extent that they purport to call for the production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, the right to free speech under Article 1, Section 5 of the Washington Constitution, the right to privacy under Article 1, Section 7 of the Washington Constitution or any other right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, doctrine, law, or rule protecting information from disclosure. Nothing contained herein is intended to be, nor shall in way be construed as, a waiver of any applicable privilege, doctrine, law or rule protecting information.

11. RSR Group objects to the CID and to each Definition, Instruction, Interrogatory and Document Request contained therein to the extent that they purport to call for information or

documents a) already in the Washington Attorney General's possession, custody, or control, b) publicly available or otherwise equally available to the Washington Attorney General and RSR Group, or c) more appropriately obtained from other sources.

12. RSR Group objects to the Washington Attorney General's "Everlaw Document Production Standards" set forth in Exhibit A to the CID as the technical requirements are vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and expensive.

## **INTERROGATORIES**

**INTERROGATORY NO. 1**: Identify all Persons involved in responding to this CID, including identification of each Request that each Person provided information for or answered.

**ANSWER:** RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference. Subject to and without waiving or otherwise limiting the foregoing objections, RSR Group states as follows: Arielle Tyree, General Counsel, RSR Group, Inc., with the assistance of RSR Group's executive management team, compliance department, legal counsel, and various personnel responsible for information technology management, sales management, sales, strategic accounts, customer service, records department, and accounting; c/o Christopher Renzulli, Esq., Renzulli Law Firm, LLP, One North Broadway, Suite 1005, White Plains, NY 10601; (914) 285-0700; crenzulli@renzullilaw.com.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 2**: Describe Your corporate structure and ownership. Please include all relationships with any parent, affiliate, sister, subsidiary, predecessor, or successor assignee(s).

**ANSWER:** RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference and objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it would necessitate the creation of a compilation, abstract, audit or summary of commercially sensitive documents. RSR Group objects to this

Interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous and overbroad and unduly burdensome. In particular, the term "affiliate" is unclear and subject to numerous definitions and interpretations. RSR Group also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information regarding business activities that took place and concern matters entirely outside of the State of Washington and which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General. RSR Group further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information which has no relevancy to the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation into retail sales of magazines involving consumers who are located wholly within the State of Washington. Subject to and without waiving or otherwise limiting the foregoing objections, RSR Group states that it is a Delaware for-profit corporation that is 100% ESOP employee-owned.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 3**: Identify all Persons responsible for Your regulatory compliance.

**ANSWER:** RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference and objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it would necessitate the creation of a compilation, abstract, audit or summary of commercially sensitive documents. RSR Group objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous and overbroad and unduly burdensome. RSR Group also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that took place and concern matters entirely outside of the State of Washington and which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General. RSR Group further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information which has no relevancy to the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation into retail sales of magazines involving consumers who are located wholly within the State of Washington. Subject to and without waiving or otherwise limiting the foregoing objections, RSR Group states as

follows: all RSR Group personnel are responsible for ensuring compliance with federal, state, and local laws and ordinances which are applicable to the distribution, sale and/or transfer of firearms and firearm-related products with the assistance of and guidance provided by RSR Group's Executive Management Team, General Counsel, Compliance Department, and legal counsel. To the extent that this Interrogatory purports to require RSR Group to provide a list identifying all of its personnel, RSR Group objects for the reasons stated above.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 4**: For the time period of July 1, 2022 to the present, identify each of Your sales, transfers, or other distributions of Large Capacity Magazines to any person or entity located in the State of Washington. When identifying each such sale, transfer, or distribution, include: a. Customer name; b. Shipping address; c. Telephone number; d. Email address; e: Model number and name of Large Capacity Magazine(s); f. Quantity of Large Capacity Magazine(s); g. Date of sale, transfer, or distribution; and h. Date You shipped Large Capacity Magazine(s) to Washington.

**ANSWER:** RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference and objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it would necessitate the creation of a compilation, abstract, audit or summary of commercially sensitive documents. RSR Group objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and expensive in relation to the needs of the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation, RSR Group's limited resources, and the fact that the information requested is not narrowly tailored. Furthermore, RSR Group objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information concerning actions or transactions which are expressly permitted by RCW §§ 9.41.370(2)(a)-(c). RSR Group also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information regarding business activities which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General and

implicate confidential, trade secret, and commercially sensitive business information, the disclosure of which would cause economic and/or competitive harm to RSR Group. RSR Group further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information which has no relevancy to the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation into retail sales of magazines involving consumers who are located wholly within the State of Washington.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 5**: For the time period of July 1, 2022 to the present, identify each Large Capacity Magazine that was returned to You by any person or entity located in the State of Washington. When identifying each such return; include: a. Customer name; b. Shipping address; c. Telephone number; d. Email address; e. Model number and name of Large Capacity Magazine(s) returned; f. Quantity of Large Capacity Magazine(s) returned; g. Date of return; and h. Date Person shipped Large Capacity Magazine(s) to You.

**ANSWER:** RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference and objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it would necessitate the creation of a compilation, abstract, audit or summary of commercially sensitive documents. RSR Group objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and expensive in relation to the needs of the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation, RSR Group's limited resources, and the fact that the information requested is not narrowly tailored. Furthermore, RSR Group objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information concerning actions or transactions which are expressly permitted by RCW §§ 9.41.370(2)(a)-(c). RSR Group also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information regarding business activities which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General and implicate confidential, trade secret, and commercially sensitive business information, the disclosure of which would cause economic and/or competitive harm to RSR Group. RSR Group

further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information which has no relevancy to the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation into retail sales of magazines involving consumers who are located wholly within the State of Washington.

## **REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1**: Provide any and all documents referenced in, supporting, or that form the basis of your response to the Interrogatories above. This request is intended to include (but not be limited to) all invoices, sales records and receipts of any LCM sales or transfers, shipping manifests or similar paperwork regarding any sales or transfers of LCMs, FFL transfer documentation for any transfer of a firearm packaged with an LCM, etc. Please label those documents as responsive to the corresponding Interrogatory number listed above.

**RESPONSE:** RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference and objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and expensive in relation to the needs of the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation, RSR Group's limited resources, and the fact that the documentation requested is not narrowly tailored. Furthermore, RSR Group objects to this Request on the ground that it seeks documentation concerning actions or transactions which are expressly permitted by RCW §§ 9.41.370(2)(a)-(c). RSR Group also objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documentation regarding business activities which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General and implicate confidential, trade secret, and commercially sensitive business information, the disclosure of which would cause economic and/or competitive harm to RSR Group. RSR Group further objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documentation which has no relevancy to the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation into retail sales of magazines involving consumers who are located wholly within the State of Washington.

Additionally, RSR Group objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks production of "any and all" documents under circumstances in which the production of a subset of documents would be sufficient to show the pertinent information on the ground that such a provision is overbroad and unduly burdensome.

**<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2</u>**: For the time period of January 1, 2022 to the present, provide all Communications with any Person relating in any way to the sale, transfer, or distribution of Large Capacity Magazines in the State of Washington.

RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference **RESPONSE:** and objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and expensive in relation to the needs of the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation, RSR Group's limited resources, and the fact that the documentation requested is not narrowly tailored and includes communications dated prior to Senate Bill 5078's effective date. Furthermore, RSR Group objects to this Request on the ground that it seeks documentation concerning actions or transactions which are expressly permitted by RCW §§ 9.41.370(2)(a)-(c). RSR Group also objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documentation regarding business activities which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General and implicate confidential, trade secret, and commercially sensitive business information, the disclosure of which would cause economic and/or competitive harm to RSR Group. RSR Group further objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documentation which has no relevancy to the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation into retail sales of magazines involving consumers who are located wholly within the State of Washington. Additionally, RSR Group objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks production of "all Correspondence" under circumstances in which the production of a subset of documents would be

sufficient to show the pertinent information on the ground that such a provision is overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving or otherwise limiting the foregoing objections, RSR Group states that this overly broad Request implicates a substantial and overly burdensome volume of irrelevant electronically stored information (ESI) which could cost RSR Group more than \$2,675,000 to collect, process, and review for potential responsiveness.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3**: For the time period of January 1, 2022 to the present, provide all Communications with any firearm dealer, firearm store, or other retailer that sells firearms (i.e., Cabela's, etc.) located within the State of Washington. For purposes of this Request for Production, "Communications" does not include invoices, sales records, receipts, shipping manifests, or similar paperwork.

**RESPONSE:** RSR Group incorporates its General Responses and Objections by reference and objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and expensive in relation to the needs of the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation, RSR Group's limited resources, and the fact that the documentation requested is not narrowly tailored and includes communications dated prior to Senate Bill 5078's effective date. Furthermore, RSR Group objects to this Request on the ground that it seeks documentation concerning actions or transactions which are expressly permitted by RCW §§ 9.41.370(2)(a)-(c). RSR Group also objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documentation regarding business activities which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Washington Attorney General and implicate confidential, trade secret, and commercially sensitive business information, the disclosure of which would cause economic and/or competitive harm to RSR Group. RSR Group further objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks documentation which has no relevancy to the Washington Attorney General's purported investigation into retail

sales of magazines involving consumers who are located wholly within the State of Washington. Additionally, RSR Group objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks production of "all Correspondence" under circumstances in which the production of a subset of documents would be sufficient to show the pertinent information on the ground that such a provision is overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving or otherwise limiting the foregoing objections, RSR Group states that this overly broad Request implicates a substantial and overly burdensome volume of irrelevant electronically stored information (ESI) which could cost RSR Group more than \$2,675,000 to collect, process, and review for potential responsiveness.

\* \* \*

The foregoing objections and answers reflect only the current state of RSR Group's knowledge, understanding and belief with respect to the matters addressed in the CID. The foregoing objections and answers are neither intended as, nor shall in any way be deemed, an admission or representation that certain information or documents exist or do not exist. Without obligating itself to do so, RSR Group reserves the right to modify, supplement, amend, or revise its objections and answers with pertinent information as it may subsequently discover.

DATED: May 1, 2023

Yours, etc.,

### **RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**

Christopher Renzulli, Esq. Peter V. Malfa, Esq. One North Broadway, Suite 1005 White Plains, NY 10601 Tel: (914) 285-0700 Fax: (914) 285-1213 E-Mail: <u>crenzulli@renzullilaw.com</u> E-Mail: <u>pmalfa@renzullilaw.com</u>

RSR GROUP, INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND ANSWERS TO CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 11

-and-

# **CORR CRONIN LLP**

Steven W. Fogg, WSBA No. 23528 1015 Second Avenue, Floor 10 Seattle, WA 98104-1001 Tel: (206) 625-8600 Fax: (206) 625-0900 E-Mail: <u>sfogg@corrcronin.com</u>

Attorneys for Respondent RSR Group, Inc.

.

# **CERTIFICATION**

I, <u>Arielle Tyree</u>, having made the foregoing responses to the interrogatories in this Civil Investigative Demand, certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of <u>Florida</u>, that I am authorized to sign legal documents on respondent's behalf and know the responses herein to be true, correct, and complete.

Signature:	and the
Title or Position:	General Counsel
Date:	<u>May 1, 2023</u>
City and State:	Winter Park, Florida

RSR GROUP, INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND ANSWERS TO CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 13

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I certify that I caused true and correct copies of this document to be served via e-mail and

Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, on the following party at the following address:

Bob Hyde Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 robert.hyde@atg.wa.gov

I certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New York, that the

foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 1st day of May, 2023, at White Plains, New York.

Christopher Renzulli, Esq. Attorney for Respondent, RSR Group, Inc.

RSR GROUP, INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND ANSWERS TO CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 14

